

CONTEMPORARY TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT AND CHALLENGES TO THE INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW¹

ABSTRACT –

International Humanitarian Law (IHL), is a significant part of the open worldwide law, it doesn't talk about the legitimacy of armed conflict, it looks for rather to secure the regular folks and hors de battles through monumental constraint to the opportunity of the decision of the weapons and procedures of fighting. The improvement of science and innovation has fundamentally changed the idea of weapons and war systems and confounded the execution of IHL. This article depicts the mechanically grown new weapons and techniques which are arbitrarily utilized in the contemporary furnished clash. This paper likewise centers around the new difficulties looked by the incessant utilization of innovatively propelled weapons in the cutting edge armed conflict

KEYWORDS-International humanitarian law, Implementation, Means and methods, Warfare, Drone, Cyber attack

INTRODUCTION –

The usage of IHL, similar to all of global law, is compromised by obliviousness, control, reluctant of the state parties, distortion of the settlement arrangements, etc. Notwithstanding these, the advances of advances have likewise made some new issues to the execution of IHL. The 20th and 21st hundreds of years watched the utilization of numerous new weapons and military methodologies in the furnished clash in particular the mechanized weapons, self-governing weapons, rambles, digital fighting which dissolved the assurance of the secured people and articles to be specific regular citizens and their items (Schmitt et al. 2004).

The Unmanned Ariel Vehicle generally known as automaton seemed to be, albeit, first conveyed for observation and surveillance purposes in 1960s, later on in the course of the last ten to fifteen years, it was

¹ Ms.Fowmina.C,Research Associate, VIT School of Law – Chennai, 9884015285

utilized on a critical scale for military and counter-fear mongering purposes with unimportant thought to the principles of jus advertisement bellum and jus in bello (Maslen 2012:598). Another new enormous field of contention is the virtual existence where in the digital assault the standards of IHL are every now and again dismissed. The other novel weapons in particular mechanized, self-sufficient weapons, substance and atomic weapons which are unpredictable in nature are additionally late risk to the execution of worldwide helpful law (Schmitt et al. 2004). It centers the advancements and employments of new weapons and strategies in particular automatons, self-sufficient and computerized weapons, substance weapons and digital fighting in the global furnished clash (IAC) and non-universal equipped clash (NIAC) by state or individual or non-state on-screen characters. This paper additionally features the authoritative difficulties to the worldwide helpful law with respect to usage and application.

TECHNOLOGICALLY ADVANCED NEW MEANS OF WARFARE –

The collaboration between the advancement of innovation and the idea of contention is a steady history of war. From days of yore the States and its military powers have reacted to the improvements of science and innovation by embracing new weapons in the furnished field (Stewart: 271). Once in the outfitted clash the weapons to be specific sword, blades, hatchet, sickle, bow and bolt were utilized by the gatherings, the slow improvement of science and innovation has changed these sorts of weapons. The twentieth century and specifically 21st century have altered the fighting by new advancements and new weapons. Boothby (2014:22) states among the different kinds of new methods for fighting the most every now and again utilized weapons and techniques in the ongoing past are the digital fighting, remote controlled weapons frameworks (rambles), compound and organic weapons, etc. Some different weapons which are yet to be created yet under skyline to be specific Nano-innovative weapons, completely self-governing weapons and man-made consciousness would be the most noticeably awful commitment of the innovation in the war. These new mechanical weapons can be sorted in the accompanying manners:

1. Existing new technological weapons
2. Futuristic technological weapons

1. EXISTING NEW TECHNOLOGICAL WEAPONS –

A decent number of new weapons are now being used in both IAC and NIAC specifically the remote controlled weapons frameworks, mechanized weapons, digital fighting, unmanned innovative weapons and organic, radiological and synthetic weapons, etc. It isn't apparent whether these weapons have been created conforming to the commitments forced under article 36 of the Extra Convention (AP) I. Unmistakably the ongoing utilization of them in the outfitted clashes have much of the time disregarded the guidelines of IHL. This article is a push to concentrate on what and how the recently created weapons have complex the application and execution of IHL in the outfitted clash.

a. REMOTE CONTROLLED WEAPONS SYSTEMS (RCWSs) –

Remote Controlled Weapons Frameworks or Automaton, an unmanned Ariel vehicle, was at first used to prepare the military work force for objective practice, later on it moves to use for focused executing in and outside of the furnished strife (Ian 2014). In 1959 the U.S. Aviation based armed forces started intending to utilize unmanned air ship as they were losing their pilots over unfriendly regions. In the following year they propelled an exceptionally grouped unpiloted ethereal assault over the Soviet Association under the code name —Red Wagonl (Wagner 1982: 11-12). The main present day combat zone unmanned flying machine called Tadiran Mastiff was created by Israel in 1973 with the limit of good continuance for standing around and live video spilling. The US of America moreover utilized UAVs in 1973 in Vietnam and during the war around 3435 UAVs missions were flown by USA (Cai and Geng, 2015:1921). Over the a decade ago a significant number of automaton assaults were done by numerous states especially USA in various territories of the world particularly in Pakistan and Afghanistan for focused killings and at last slaughtered numerous regular citizens including children and women's. Various types of drones have already been invented by many countries. Among them most common ones are the RQ-11B Raven, the RQ-4 Global Hawk, mainly used for surveillance and reconnaissance functions and the Predator and the Reaper, are used for armed attack (Schamitt 2011: 313-14).

b. COMPUTER BASED INFORMATION WAGES –

Computer based data fighting or digital fighting was another idea in the 20 century however now it isn't unexpected to the world networks for its irregular use by States or people or non-state entertainers. Generally digital fighting is not quite the same as the customary war as it is held in the virtual world however its effects happen in reality which might be more hazardous and destroying as a rule in contrast with active war.

This computer based digital fighting has been characterized by Rose (2010) as the Digital fighting which shows an online based clash where the state or individual gatherings being politically roused assault on data or data arrangement of the adversary state. US Branch of Guard (2010) states digital activities are submitted by a computer against a computer or its framework through an information stream. Such assaults can cause severe damage and harm to industries and so on.

c. AUTOMATED WEAPONS –

The advancement of robotized weapons isn't so old. It is one stage advance innovation from the remote controlled weapons frameworks. The mechanized weapons are not quite the same as the remote controlled weapons frameworks in this feeling the last is constrained by person remaining in remote spots; then again once the mechanized weapon is conveyed it works in an independent and autonomous way, for example, programmed guns, certain landmines, robotized guard firearms and so forth. Among the mechanized weapons Landmine is outstanding and most utilized weapon over the globe. Landmine is hazardous gadget which is planned so that it consequently impacts or blows when activated by weight. These gadgets are essentially set up just underneath the outside of the ground to cripple the people on foot or vehicles which come into the contact of it by blast or parts. The blameless youngsters and womens are the fundamental casualties of this weapon (Kevin 2001).

d. NUCLEAR WEAPONS –

The most decimating innately aimless weapon is the Nuclear Weapon. The world has as of now harshly tried the impacts of Nuclear Weapons in 1945 when USA assaulted Nagasaki and Hakaluki of Japan. The profoundly ruinous potential weapon of the globe Nuclear weapon' alludes to weapons which give ruinous

vitality through atomic responses of combination or parting or a blend of combination and splitting (Loye and Robin 2007). It is considered as the most pulverizing weapon in the mankind's history of fighting. After a nuclear explosion it causes injury to human being in many ways, for example, emission of thermal (heat) radiation causing a large-scale firestorms resulting to burnings and other severe injuries, radiation and radioactive fallout causing radiation sickness (Loye & Robin, 2007:334). As of 2016, two nuclear weapons, Little Boy and Fat Man', were used in the history of nuclear war by the United States of America consecutively on 6th and 9th August 1945 near to the end of the World War II, over the Japanese city of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Radiation Effects Research Foundation (2007) released a report that approximately 200,000 people both civilians and military personnel lost their life as the consequences of the nuclear explosion in Japan. A 2001 joint study of the scientists of United States and India on effects of nuclear explosion revealed that only five nuclear weapons explosion in Pakistan Cities and five in India would result the deaths of 2.9 million people and 1.5 million severely injured (Matthew G. Mc Kinzie, Zia Mian, A. H. Nayyar & M. V. Ramana, 2001). Considering its highly devastating nature, immediately after the end of the World War II, initiative was taken to negotiate a treaty and finally the Nuclear Test Ban Treaty was signed in Moscow, Russia on August 5, 1963, with a view to reducing and banning the nuclear weapons. This object has been partially achieved as the global inventory of nuclear warheads has been significantly reduced from an estimated 70,300 to 15,400 but the number of nuclear weapons owner countries has increased from three to nine namely the United States of America, the United King Doom, Russia, China, India, Pakistan, France, Israel and North Korea (Hans M. Kristensen & Robert S. Norris, 2016). The existing nuclear weapons and the trend to be owner of nuclear weapons by the State are the continuous threat to the peaceful human existence. Today approximately 1800 US, Russian, British and France nuclear warheads are still deployed and ready for use at short notice.

e. CHEMICAL WEAPONS –

Another weapon which is usually utilized in the outfitted clash is Chemical weapons' methods a lethal substance including nerve, rankle, gagging and blood operators and it aimlessly causes crippling, genuine real mischief or damage or even passing too. The straightforwardness of its utilization made its application high in the furnished clash. It tends to be discharged uniquely by puncturing the holder containing compound

weapons or by basically putting a compartment of concoction by a touchy charge (Loye and Robin, 2007:338). Despite the fact that the utilization of substance weapons to stifle the agitators or to overcome the adversary of the outfitted clash is anything but another strategy, the fast and successive use in the ongoing clash truly concerns the world networks. The Washington Post (2013) detailed that in the Syrian struggle, the administration powers utilized colossal measure of synthetic weapons against the dissidents to assume the responsibility for the deliberately important region where they neglected to hold their control notwithstanding the utilization of numerous weapons, i.e., substantial big guns floods what came about immense number of regular citizens' losses, in excess of 1400 passings. Ali (2001:43) makes reference to that the utilization of compound weapons by the two sides in the war among Iraq and Iran during the 1980-1988 dispensed colossal human expenses. Ali (2001:43) states, from the Global point of view, the utilization of substance weapons by Iraq and supposedly by Iran showed that third world weapons of mass annihilation where the proliferators can without much of a stretch produce huge strategic military and key political profit by the utilization of such weapons. Concoction Weapons Convention Bulletin (1995:20) in a report makes reference to that during Iraq-Iran war between 500000-600000 setbacks held related to synthetic weapons and another report discharged by Iran March, 1995, uncovered that up to 60000 veterans of this war were admitted to the Kowsar Health Complex in Tehran who were harmed by the synthetic weapons assaults of Iraq. The most broad utilization of synthetic dangerous in the fight space saw by the world was the World War I where more than one million concoction weapons related setbacks had happened (Fredrick, Eamest and Dacid, 1997:27). A decent number of shows, arrangements and conventions have been embraced from and before the World War I to till 2015 prohibiting the utilization, generation and expansion of the concoction weapons. In 1899 The Hague Regulation and above all the Geneva Protocol of 1925 has made severe guideline against the utilization of both concoction and organic weapons. The Geneva Protocol disallows the utilization of substance weapons in the fighting yet it didn't forbid the creation, improvement, explore, capacity, testing and storing the substance gas. Yet, the ongoing significant record the Chemical Weapons Convention 1992 obviously restricts the use, advancement, generation, accumulating and its obliteration.

2. FUTURISTIC TECHONOLOGICAL WEAPONS –

The created nations particularly USA and Russia have wanted to imagine in not so distant future a few weapons to be specific self-governing weapons for utilizing in the war zone. Among them the top most questionable weapon which is yet to be being used is the completely self-governing weapons. Autonomous Weapon System' which can target and start the utilization of possibly deadly power without direct human supervision, control and contribution in deadly basic leadership The US Department of Defense Directive (2012, pp. 13-14) considers a weapon framework to be self-sufficient if, when initiated; it can choose and draw in focuses moving along without any more mediation by a human operator. As indicated by the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, outline or self-assertive executions, Lethal Autonomous Robotics (LARs) alludes to mechanical weapon frameworks that, when enacted, can choose and draw in targets moving forward without any more mediation by a human administrator. The significant component is that the robot has a self-governing 'choice' with respect to choice of a target and the utilization of deadly force. It can adequately be characterized that autonomous weapon that demonstrates autonomously in engagement-related functions for instance, the obtaining, following, distinguishing proof, gathering, choice, prioritization and commitment of targets. Each progression in this so called kill chain or loop includes capacities which the weapon framework might satisfy self-ruling. In the event that any of these capacities are self-ruling, the weapon framework might be delegated an Automated Weapons, and if all of them are independent, the framework is a completely self-ruling weapon (FAW) (Gubrad and Altmann, p.2). For instance, the PHALANX framework utilized by the US Navy can self-sufficiently identify, track, and fire at hostile to dispatch rockets (United Nations Navy Fact File, 2012). The previously mentioned exchange showed that the automatons, mines, substance weapons, digital assaults and independent weapons are the mechanically propelled methods and techniques for fighting which are currently regularly utilized in both IAC and NIAC by States and non-satisfy entertainers and the completely self-ruling weapons which are yet to be created however under plan. Presently this article features how these weapons have confounded the execution of IHL in the contemporary outfitted conflict.

NEW WEAPONS AND STRATEGIES OF WARFARE AND CHALLENGES TO IHL –

The fast advancement of science and innovation in the 21st century represents a numerous difficulties towards the execution of the IHL in both national and global outfitted clash. The different arms and strategies

of war, for example rambles, mines, self-sufficient weapons and digital fighting, which are usually utilized in the contemporary outfitted clash, are making numerous complexities to the usage of the global philanthropic law. The aforementioned examination has demonstrated the mechanically grown new means and strategies for fighting. It currently investigates to display how these methods and techniques are the new difficulties in the usage of IHL. For the usage of IHL it is necessary to the gatherings of the strife that they should consent to the standards of IHL during the outfitted clash. As article 48 of AP I forces a stringent commitment on the gatherings to a contention that they should recognize regular folks from warriors also, regular citizen objects from military goals and as needs be direct their military activities just against military destinations. Also, article 51 sets out the general reason that —civilians appreciate general security against risks emerging from military operations| so the military activities, —the essential motivation behind which is to spread fear among the regular citizen population| are continuously denied (Art. 51, AP 1, 1977). A key component of the guideline of qualification is that —in the lead of military activities, consistent consideration will be taken to save the no- military personnel populace, regular citizens and regular citizen objects(Art. 57.1, AP 1). It likewise expounds the guideline of proportionality by reference to —expected coincidental loss of non-military personnel life, damage to regular citizens, harm to non-military personnel objects,it was repeated in articles 51.5 (b) 57(2) (an) (ii) and (b) of AP 1. During equipped assaults, the rule of safety measure as referenced in article 57 of AP I requires the gatherings to choose those strategies, and methods for fighting which limit —incidental loss of regular citizen life, damage to regular citizens and harm to non-military personnel objects, and furthermore tries to send an earlier cautioning if the assault has any probability of affect the non-military personnel population (57.2. c of AP 1), and picking targets may cause the least risk to non-military personnel lives and to regular citizen objects (57. 3 of AP 1).In ethereal and maritime activities, the gatherings must take all sensible precautionary measures —to keep away from misfortunes of regular citizen lives and harm to non-military personnel objects (57.4 of AP 1).These are the essential standards of IHL in a word which a State is required to consent during equipped clash to pick the means and strategies for fighting. The recently created weapons and methodologies can't be applied in the outfitted clash keeping up these standards which can be clear from the accompanying investigation. The enormous test to execute IHL is the utilization of remote controlled weapons to be specific automatons in the furnished documented and out of outfitted clash. Presently the most utilized weapon the unmanned Ariel vehicle or automaton which has both

positive and negative outcomes in the war zone yet blended responses of the individuals. The measurement demonstrates that automaton assaults over the a decade ago have completely neglected to keep up the standards of IHL. As David and Mc Donald, (2009) composed in The New York Times in March 2009, depending on measurements gave by Pakistani sources, that the U.S executed 50 unintended focuses for each planned target. Another image of automaton assault is after the murdering of Mehsud, Jane Mayer in the New York composed that the sixth August assault against Baitullah Mehsud was the 16th UAVs assault whereas past fifteen were fruitless to slaughter him which came about to execute between 207 to 321 regular people (Jane 2009).

The second huge issue of automaton use is that the automaton assaults are worked by non-warriors however in an outfitted clash just the soldier has the option to legitimately take part in the threats as Article 43(2) of Extra Protocol I states, —members of the military of a Party to a struggle (other than medicinal work force and clerics secured by Article 33 of the Third Convention) are warriors and they reserve the option to take part straightforwardly in hostilities. But soldier nobody has right to take an interest in the equipped clash and if any one takes an interest that is infringement of IHL. The automaton assaults by USA in Pakistan, Afghanistan are directed and managed by CIA that isn't the piece of military of USA (non-soldier) which is likewise clear infringement of IHL. So it is clear that the focused on executing by the automaton assault has neglected to keep up the standards of IHL, so it is pressing need to consider the impacts of utilization of the ramble in and outside of the furnished clash. Today the principle worry of the world networks is the digital assault. In digital assault it is very difficult to keep up the guideline of differentiation and proportionality. For example, a digital assault against an air traffic control framework would aimlessly influence both the military and non-military personnel air ship or an assault on a dam would cause the arrival of a lot of water making harm the property of the two regular people and military and imperiling the life of regular citizens and warriors moreover. In both of these cases it is beyond the realm of imagination to expect to keep up the standards of IHL. Another worry in digital assault is that in digital activity it might be conceivable to identify that from what nation and from what PC the assault was submitted however it is beyond the realm of imagination to expect to distinguish the individual who submitted the assault. For instance a Chinese resident in the wake of coming Bangladesh may cause digital assault against India. On the off chance that the culprit of this assault is looked through then it will be discovered that somebody from Bangladesh what's more, by

Bangladeshi PC submitted this digital assault subsequently Bangladesh is subject for this assault however the truth is Bangladesh has no association in this assault. So this vulnerability of the character of the originators of the tasks represents a major test to the usage of IHL. As with respect to digital assault against Estonia, the tasks were recognizable to Russia however it couldn't absolutely be informed that Russian government had led the activity as there was no convincing proof (Schmitt, 2011).

Another central issue on the digital fighting is whether IHL is applied in the digital fighting. There is no reasonable arrangement in any national or global instruments with respect to utilization of IHL in the digital fighting. Without any unmistakable arrangement in the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 and its Additional Protocol 1977, by a more extensive understanding of the articles of the Conventions and Protocols it tends to be said that global helpful law is applied both in International and Non-International Armed Conflict. This absence of clear arrangement on the utilization of IHL in the digital assault urges the aggressors to make more digital assaults and makes a grave issue to execute universal compassionate law in the digital fighting. Then again the computerized weapons for example mines, compound and atomic weapons are aimless in their inclination therefore they are precluded from the earliest starting point. Since these weapons can't be utilized keeping up the standards of IHL, it isn't for carelessness of the parties however for the aimless idea of the weapons. For example the compound weapons can't be utilized distinctly for the warriors in the event that it is utilized it will do hurt both for the regular folks and soldiers. On the off chance that a mine is set up in a place then it will impact if weight is given on it here it isn't the matter of thought who has forced on it regular people or soldiers, male or female, minor or major and if a nuclear bomb is tossed in any city or place it will execute the two regular folks and warriors. It is matter of grave worry that the cutting edge weapons to be specific the completely self-sufficient weapons whenever created and utilized in the furnished clash at that point it would complex the utilization of the standards of IHL. Noel Sharkey remarks that —no self-governing robots or man-made consciousness frameworks have the fundamental abilities to separate among warriors and innocents The utilization of independent weapons can create the accompanying difficulties with respect to the use of IHL:

1. As the independent weapons are sensor based weapons which can work themselves with no control of person so they can't segregate between military goals and non military personnel destinations.

2. A trouble may emerge with respect to the ID of the culprits behind the sending of the self-governing weapons.

3. The independent weapons itself can take choice and altogether impact the choice, however this basic leadership capacity of a weapon isn't joined by either duty or responsibility like individual may make a major issue go along with the standards on the direct of threats (Liu, 2013: 632).

4. Another debatable inquiry is raised whether the FAW can recognize two people one who is burrowing a channel from a individual from outfitted clash and another that individual from military who is planting an extemporized unstable gadget (Chantal, 2013: 10). After this short investigation it tends to be inferred that the completely self-governing weapons can't pursue the standards of IHL so this short review calls upon the world networks to take a successful activity for prohibiting the improvement, generation and utilization of these weapons.

FINDINGS –

The article found that the science and innovation have exceptionally contributed to design some new weapons and techniques which are currently picked by the gatherings to battle the adversary rival. These weapons and strategies incorporate remote controlled weapons frameworks to be specific automaton, compound, atomic and computerized weapons, for example mines, programmed guns, computerized guard weapons and digital fighting. The examination additionally found that completely self-ruling weapon is, for instance robot, yet to be developed however constant exertion is given by created nations to send this weapon in not so distant future. The investigation further found that the utilization of these weapons and strategies in the equipped clash are the huge difficulties to actualize IHL during the furnished clash. These weapons and strategies can't be utilized in the furnished strife keeping up the arrangements of Geneva Conventions and its Extra Protocols. These weapons are for the most part unpredictable in nature so its utilization in the furnished clash causes ruin harms and passings to the regular citizens, hose de battles, and non military personnel property and items.

CONCLUSION –

Numerous weapons, i.e., the concoction weapons, different mines, atomic weapons, etc, have just been precluded by worldwide shows, arrangements and national enactments. However a portion of these are haphazardly utilized in the national and universal equipped clashes in particular concoction weapons, mines. Some different methods and techniques for fighting, for model, digital fighting, rambles assaults, which are enormous risk for human being and challenge to the global helpful law yet to boycott by receiving a particular global show and convention. The independent weapons which are under procedure need to boycott prior to the development. The utilization of the independent robots in the furnished field is the incredible risk to the entire human and IHL. Then again the self-governing weapons are characteristically unpredictable which is disallowed under the Additional Protocol I. In spite of the fact that the self-ruling weapons can't be utilized legally under the current arrangement of IHL yet its exploration, advancement, improvement examination and move must be restricted by making separate show and convention before coming into existence. The computerized weapons particularly automatons can be utilized in the outfitted field and in the salvage tasks in the remote territory and in characteristic debacle, subsequent to taking most extreme consideration and earnestness however for no situation out of the equipped clash for murdering the focused on people. In the end it tends to be said that as indicated by article 36 of the AP I, in the examination, improvement, securing or appropriation of any weapons, means or strategies for fighting, a high contracting gathering is under an commitment to decide if its work would, in a few or all conditions, be restricted by this convention or by some other principle of global law material to the high contracting party¹ (Art. 36, AP 1). So on the off chance that a state expects to build up any new weapons, at that point it needs to think about whether it tends to be utilized conforming to the rule of IHL in the event that it is impossible then that State ought not build up that weapons and use it in the front line.

REFERENCES –

1. Schmit, M. N (2013) (ed.) Rule 13, Tallinn Manual on the International Law Applicable to Cyber warfare. Cambridge University press, prepared by International Group of Experts at the invitation of NATO Cooperative Cyber Defence Centre of Excellence.

2. Ali, J. (2001). Chemical weapons and Iraq-Iran war: a case study in noncompliance. *The Nonproliferation Review*, 8(1), 43-58.
3. BBC News, South Asia. (2012). Drones: what they are and how do they work? Retrieved January, 2017 from <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-south-asia10713898>
4. Boothby, H. W. (2014). The legal challenges of new technologies: an overview. In H. Nasu, & R. McLaughlin. (Ed.), *New Technology and the Law of Armed Conflict*, (pp. 22).
5. Cai, H., & Geng, Q. (2015). Research on the development process and trend of unmanned aerial vehicle. *International Industrial Informatics and Computer Engineering Conference*.
6. Chantal, G. (2013). *The challenges of autonomous lethal robotics to international humanitarian law*. *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, Oxford University Press.
7. Chemical weapons Convention Bulletin, (June 1995). See also, Jannys, S. (1991). Iranian veterans suffer chemical weapons chilling effects. *Los Angeles Times*.
8. Christof, H. (2015). UN report of the special rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions. United Nations General Assembly. doc. A/HRC/23/47.
9. David, K., & McDonald, A. E. (2009, May 17). Death from above, outrage down below. *N.Y. TIMES*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2009/05/17/opinion/17exum.html?_r=1&scp=1&sq=death%20from%20above,%20outrage%20from%20below&st
10. Factsheet-MQ-1B Predator. (2010). United States Air Force. Retrieved from <http://www.af.mil/information/factsheets/factsheet.asp?fsID=122>.
11. Factsheet-MQ-9 Reaper, (2010). United States Air Force. Retrieved from <http://www.af.mil/information/factsheets/factsheet.asp?fsID=6405>.
12. Factsheet-RQ11B Raven. (2010). United States Air Force. Retrieved from <http://www.af.mil/information/factsheets/factsheet.asp?fsID=10446>.
13. Factsheet-RQ-4 Global Hawk. (2009). United States Air Force. Retrieved from <http://www.af.mil/information/factsheets/factsheet.asp?fsID=10446>.
14. Fredrick, S., Earnest, T., & David, F. (1997). *Medical aspects of chemical and biological warfare*. Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington D.C.

15. Gubrad, M., & Altmann, J. Compliance measures for autonomous weapons convention; ICRC Working Paper. International Committee for Robot Arms Control.
16. Hans, M. K., & Robert S. N. (2016). Status of world nuclear forces. Federation of American scientists (FAS). Retrieved May 2018 from <http://fas.org/issues/nuclear-weapons/status-world-nuclear-forces/>
17. Human Rights Watch Report (2015). Landmines. Retrieved from <https://www.hrw.org/topic/arms/landmines>.
18. Ian, G. R. S. (2014). The rise of the predator empire: tracing the history of U.S. Drones. Understanding Empire. Retrieved from <https://understandingempire.wordpress.com/2014/02/02-a-brief-history-of-u-s-drones/>.
19. Jane, M. (2009). The predator war: what are the risks of the C.I.A.'s covert Drone program? The New Yorker. Retrieved from http://www.newyorker.com/reporting/2009/10/26/091026fa_fact_mayer.
20. Kellenberger, J. (2011). International humanitarian law and new weapon technologies. 34th Round Table on Current Issues of International Humanitarian Law, San Remo. Retrieved from <http://ihl.org/ihl/Documents/JKBSan%20Remo%20Speech.pdf>
21. Kevin, B. (2001). How Landmines Work. Retrieved May, 2015 from <http://science.howstuffworks.com/landmine.htm>
22. Liu, Y. H. (2013). Categorization and legality of autonomous and remote weapons systems. International Review of the Red Cross, 94(886).
23. Loye, D., & Coupland, R. (2007). Who will assist the victims of use of nuclear, radiological, biological or chemical weapons – and how? International Review of the Red Cross, 89(866).
24. Maslen, C. S. (2012). Pandora's box? Drones strike under Jus ad Bellum, Jus in Bello and international human rights law. International Review of the Red Cross, 94(886).
25. Matthew, G., McKinzie, Z. M., Nayyar, A. H., & Ramana, M. V. (2001). The risk and consequences of nuclear war in South Asia. In Smitu, K. & Zia, M.(Eds.), Out of the Nuclear Shadow. New Delhi: Rainbow Publishers. O'Connell, E., & Merry. (2010). Unlawful killing with combat drones a case study of Pakistan 2004-2009. Legal Studies Research Paper. Notre Dame Law School.

26. Radiation Effects Research Foundation.(2007). Frequently Asked Questions I; How many people died as a result of atomic bombings. Retrieved January 2018 from http://www.rerf.or.jp/general/qa_e/index.html
- Rose, M. (2010). What is Cyber Warfare. Tech Target Search, referred from Chantal, G. .(2013). The Challenges of Autonomous Lethal Robotics to International Humanitarian Law, Journal of Conflict and Security Law, Oxford university Press.
- US Department of Defense, Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms, 8 November 2010 (as amended on 31 January 2011), Washington, DC, 2010: Wagner, W. (1982). Lightning bugs and other reconnaissance Drones; The can-do story of Ryan's unmanned spy planes. Armed Forces Journal International. Security. Retrieved September 15, 2013 from <http://searchsecurity.techtarget.com/definition/cyberwarfare>
- Schmitt, M. N. (2011). Drone Attacks under Jus ad Bellum and Jus in Bello: Clearing the Fog of Law. Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law, 13, 311- 326.
27. Schmitt, M. N. (2011). Cyber Operation and Jus in Bello: Key Issue. Naval War College International Law Studies. Retrieved from <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1801176>
28. Schmitt, M. N., Harrison, D., & Heather, A. (2004). Computer and war: the legal battle space. International Humanitarian law Research Initiative, Program on Humanitarian Policy and Conflict Research at Harvard University 1033 Massachusetts Avenue Fourth Floor Cambridge, MA 02138. Retrieved from www.hsph.harvard.edu
29. Stewart, M. D. New Technology and Law of Armed Conflict. International Law Studies, 87.
30. The US Department of Defense, Directive 3000.09, (November 21, 2012).
31. The Washington Post. (August 30, 2013). More than 1400 killed in Syrian chemical weapons attack. Retrieved from <http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/nearly-1500-killed-in-syrian-chemical-weapons-attack-us-says/2013/08/30/b2864662-> referred from Chantal, G. .(2013). The Challenges of Autonomous Lethal Robotics to International Humanitarian Law, Journal of Conflict and Security Law, Oxford university Press.
- US Department of Defense, Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms, 8 November 2010 (as amended on 31 January 2011), Washington, DC, 2010: Wagner, W. (1982). Lightning bugs and other reconnaissance Drones; The can-do story of Ryan's

unmanned spy planes. Armed Forces Journal International. 1196-11e3-85b6-d27422650fd5_story.html

32. United States Navy Fact File. (2012). MK 15 – Phalanx Close-In Weapons System (CIWS). Retrieved from http://www.navy.mil/navydata/fact_display.asp?cid%2100&tid%487&ct%24 , referred from Chantal, G. .(2013). The Challenges of Autonomous Lethal Robotics to International Humanitarian Law, Journal of Conflict and Security Law, Oxford university Press.
33. US Department of Defense, Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms, 8 November 2010 (as amended on 31 January 2011), Washington, DC, 2010:
34. Wagner, W. (1982). Lightning bugs and other reconnaissance Drones; The can-do story of Ryan's unmanned spy planes. Armed Forces Journal International.